

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/036,087	11/09/2001	Mark C. Sapienza	010371-9025-00	2568
23409	7590 07/18/2003			
MICHAEL BEST & FRIEDRICH, LLP			EXAMINER	
	ONSIN AVENUE E, WI 53202	CARTER, MONICA SMITH		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3722	Ω_{t}
			DATE MAILED: 07/18/2003	9

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/036,087	SAPIENZA ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Monica S. Carter	3722			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence address			
THE - External after - If the - If NC - Failu - Any I	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period wire to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U S C & 133)			
1)[🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 h	<u>/lay 2003</u> .				
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Thi	is action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
·						
	Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
	Claim(s) 1-11 is/are allowed.					
	Claim(s) 12-20 is/are rejected.					
	Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
	ion Papers	election requirement.	•			
9)□ .	The specification is objected to by the Examiner	r.				
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ accep		miner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
11) 🗌 -	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disappro	oved by the Examiner.			
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep					
12) 🗌 -	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer.				
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)[☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received in Applicati	on No			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bur see the attached detailed Office action for a list of the control of the certification for a list of the	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	_			
14)□ A	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).			
a) 15)∐ <i>A</i>) \square The translation of the foreign language production \square	visional application has been rec c priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120	eived. Dand/or 121.			
Attachment						
2) 🔲 Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal F	/ (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)			
S. Patent and Tr	ademark Office					

Art Unit: 3722

DETAILED ACTION

Terminal Disclaimer

1. The terminal disclaimer filed on May 12, 2003 disclaiming the terminal portion of any patent granted on this application which would extend beyond the expiration date of U.S. Patent Serial No. 6,511,246 has been reviewed and is accepted. The terminal disclaimer has been recorded.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 12, 18 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kline ('435) in view of Pitts (4,629,349).

Kline discloses a three ring binder (60) comprising a first cover and a second cover and having a plurality of divider sheets (26, 28, 30, 32, 34) having tabs (36, 38, 40, 42, 44) and holes (66, 68) coupled with the cover of the three ring binder and sheets (22), wherein the tabs extend beyond the sheets (as seen in figure 4).

Kline disclose the claimed invention except for the binder being made of a transparent material enabling viewing of the tabs when the first and second covers are in a closed position.

Pitts discloses a flexible transparent notebook for holding a stack of sheets comprising a first cover (38), a second cover (39) and a three-ring binder mechanism

Application/Control Number: 10/036,087

Art Unit: 3722

(50). The transparent thermoplastic material enables viewing of items retained within the notebook. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Kline's invention to include transparent first and second covers, as taught by Pitts, to allow easy viewing of the contents within the binder without opening the binder.

Regarding claim 18, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to provide any desired dimensions for the covers, since such a modification would have involved a mere change in the size of a component. A change in size is generally recognized as being within the level of ordinary skill in the art. *In re Rose*, 105 USPQ 237 (CCPA 1955).

Regarding claim 19, the sheet holder is a ring binder as defined above.

4. Claims 13-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kline in view of Pitts and further in view of Dottel ('775).

Kline, as modified by Pitts, discloses the claimed invention except for explicitly disclosing a sheet holder having first and second covers wherein the second cover includes a substantially elliptical cutout completely within a perimeter of the second cover, the second cover including a recessed edge having a projection and the first cover having a width greater than the width of the second cover.

Dottel discloses a folder for filing documents comprising lateral faces (2, 3) wherein the face (2) includes a substantially elliptical cutout (19) completely within a perimeter of the face and the same face including a recessed edge having a projection

Application/Control Number: 10/036,087

Art Unit: 3722

(see annotation of figure 1). Dottel further discloses modifying the widths of the faces (see col. 2, lines 61-67). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary

Page 4

skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Kline's invention to include a sheet

holder, as taught by Dottel, for providing an alternative design for retaining sheets of

paper.

5. Claim 20 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kline in

view of Pitts and further in view of Fournier ('905).

Kline, as modified by Pitts, discloses the claimed invention except for the sheet

holder being a spiral-bound notebook.

Fournier discloses a coil-bound notebook. Therefore, it would have been obvious

to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Kline's

invention to provide the sheet holder being a spiral-bound notebook, as taught by

Fournier, as an alternative, conventional device for holding the stack of sheets.

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 1-11 are allowed.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 12-20 have been considered but are

moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

- 8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The cited references disclose transparent binders and notebooks.
- Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Monica S. Carter whose telephone number is (703) 305-0305. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday (8:00 AM 5:30 PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Andrea L. Wellington can be reached on (703) 308-2159. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9302 for regular communications and (703) 872-9303 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1148.

Monica S. Carter
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3722

July 15, 2003